

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,894	11/18/2003	Mats Petter Pettersson	3782-0277P	2817	
2292	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			FRANKLIN, JAM	FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			16/	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Author O		10/714,894	PETTERSSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Jamara A. Franklin	2876	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	•	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)⊠ 10)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeding a content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Correction of the oath o	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the leading to the lead	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/676914. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/03:11/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the preliminary amendment filed on 11/18/03. Claim 1 is currently pending.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. On page 6, line 9 of the specifications, removal of the reference to "claim 1" is requested.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2876

5.

The following title is suggested: Coding Pattern Comprising a Plurality of Marks.

Claim Objections

in claim 1, line 5, substitute the first occurrence of "its" with --each mark's--; and in claim 1, line 5, substitute the second occurrence of "its" with --each mark's--.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,008. Although the conflicting claims

Application/Control Number: 10/714,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application is a broader recitation of the '008 patent.

For instance, both the instant application and the '008 patent teach a product provided with a coding pattern, which comprises a plurality of marks, each of which represents one of at least two different values, characterized in that the coding pattern also comprises a plurality of nominal positions, each of said plurality of marks being associated with one of said plurality of nominal positions and the value of each mark being indicated by its location relative to its nominal position.

The instant application differs in that a plurality of nominal positions is claimed, whereas in the '008 patent, a raster comprises raster lines which meet in raster points to define a plurality of nominal positions is claimed.

With respect to the above discussion, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to use the claimed teachings of claim 1 of the '008 patent as a general teaching for a product which is provided with a coding pattern as claimed by the instant application. The instant application obviously encompasses the claimed invention of the patent and differ only in terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winterburn (US 4,835,544).

Winterburn teaches a product (letter) which is provided with a coding pattern, which comprises a plurality of marks (simple dot), each of which represents one of at least two different values, characterized in that the coding pattern also comprises a plurality of nominal positions, each of said plurality of marks being associated with one of said plurality of nominal positions and the value of each mark being indicated by its location relative to its nominal position (col. 1, line 48-col. 2, line 2 and figures 1-4).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dowling (US 6,478,232) teaches compact optically readable data encoding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Јагнага А. Г Examiner

Art Unit 2876

STEVEN S. PAIK

PRIMARY EXAMINER

JAF

June 6, 2006